# Achieve NJ

# Mathematics, Grade 8

#### **Overview**

The 8<sup>th</sup>-grade math teacher who wrote this SGO has created an SGO for her students targeted to prepare them for entry into Algebra classes the following year. She has aligned her SGO to Algebra-specific Common Core standards. This SGO allows her to focus attention on a particular set of skills and content important to the future success of her math students. Her SGP score will encompass the broader math curriculum she is teaching throughout the year. She used a pre-assessment and prior NJ ASK scores to determine her students' starting points and uses this data to create a differentiated approach for her SGOs.

Name	School	Grade	Course/Subject	Number of Students	Interval of Instruction
		8	Mathematics	70	September 15 <sup>th</sup> – May 1 <sup>st</sup>

## **Rationale for Student Growth Objective**

Name the content standards covered, state the rationale for how these standards are critical for the next level of the subject, other academic disciplines, and/or life/college/career. Name and briefly describe the format of the assessment method.

This SGO includes all of my students, and the mathematics standards that are part of the major content for grade 8 and leading to Algebra.

CCSSM 8.EE.A, 8.EE.B, 8.EE.C, and 8.F.A

#### Assessment

Mathematics Department Grade 8 Assessment that assesses the knowledge of major content for grade 8. 40 multiple choice (4 choice), 10 constructed response.

The teacher has decided to target her SGO on the standards necessary to prepare students for Algebra next year, an important consideration for future success of her students. However, in this section, she could make the following improvements; a) explicitly describe the standards and b) provide a justification for why these are necessary for Algebra 1.

### **Starting Points and Preparedness Groupings**

State the type of information being used to determine starting points and summarize scores for each type by group. Add or subtract columns and rows as needed to match number of preparedness groups and types of Information used.

	Information #1	Information #2	Information #3
Preparedness Group	Grade 7 NJ ASK Math Scores	Diagnostic Assessment Test Score	Markers of Future Success (See rubric below)
Low	180-210	31 - 45	3-6
Medium	211-260	46 - 60	7-10
High	261-290	61 and above	11-12

The writer is using three data sets to develop three preparedness groups of students. The ranges represent the actual high and low scores that students attained in the class during the first few weeks of school. She subdivided these ranges into three groups with appropriate intervals. For students who scored in different preparedness groups for different sets of information, she chose to place the student in the higher group.

#### **Student Growth Objective**

State simply what percentage of students in each preparedness group will meet what target in the space below, e.g. "75% of students in each group will meet the target score." Describe how the targets reflect ambitious and achievable scores for these students. Use the table to provide more detail for each group. Add or delete group rows as needed.

About 70% of students in each group will score at their target level as described in the table below.

Duna and du							
Preparedness Group (e.g. Low, Medium, High)		Number of Students in Each Group		Target Score on SGO Assessment			
Low		25		70%			
Medium		34		80%			
High		11		90%			
Scoring Plan	Scoring Plan						
Preparedness	Preparedness  Student  Student  Teacher SGO Score Based on Percent of Students Achieving Target Score						
Group	Target Score	Exceptional (4)	Full (3)	Partial (2)	Insufficient (1)		
Low	70	≥90	≥75%	≥65%	≤65%		
Medium	80	≥90	≥75%	≥65%	≤65%		
High	90	≥90	≥75%	≥65%	≤65%		
				ious and achievable t	arget scores for her Idents who will make the		
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			on student learning			
	ent Growth Objectiv			and the real filling (	Jagnout the year.		
• •	oves scoring plan and		neasure student lea	rning.			
Teacher Signa		iture		Date Submitted			
Evaluator	Signa	uture Date		Date Approved	ate Approved		
Evaluator Signature Date Approved  Results of Student Growth Objective							
Results of Student	t Growth Objective	<b>:</b>					
Results of Student	t Growth Objective using weighted average		lete and add columr	ns and rows as needed.			
Results of Student	t Growth Objective		lete and add column Weight (based on students per group)	Weighted Score	Total Teacher SGO Score		
Results of Student Summarize results u Preparedness	t Growth Objective using weighted averag Percent of Students at Target	e as appropriate. De Teacher SGO	Weight (based on	Weighted Score	Total Teacher SGO Score		
Results of Student Summarize results u Preparedness Group	t Growth Objective sing weighted averag Percent of Students at Target Score	e as appropriate. De Teacher SGO Score	Weight (based on students per group)	Weighted Score			
Preparedness Group  Low	t Growth Objective sing weighted averag Percent of Students at Target Score 95%	e as appropriate. De Teacher SGO Score 4	Weight (based on students per group)	Weighted Score	Total Teacher SGO Score		
Preparedness Group Low Medium High This math teacher	Percent of Students at Target Score 95% 79%	Teacher SGO Score  4  3  1  use a weighted ave	Weight (based on students per group)  .36  .49  .15  rage. This provide	Weighted Score  1.44  1.47  .15  s a fairer representation	Total Teacher SGO Score  3.06		
Preparedness Group Low Medium High This math teacher performance than Notes Describe any change	Percent of Students at Target Score 95% 79% 59% has also chosen to a straight average	Teacher SGO Score  4  3  1  use a weighted ave for groups of signification.	Weight (based on students per group)  .36  .49  .15  rage. This provide icantly different size	Weighted Score  1.44  1.47  .15  s a fairer representation	Total Teacher SGO Score  3.06  tion of her student		
Preparedness Group Low Medium High This math teacher performance than Notes	Percent of Students at Target Score 95% 79% 59% has also chosen to a straight average	Teacher SGO Score  4  3  1  use a weighted ave for groups of signification.	Weight (based on students per group)  .36  .49  .15  rage. This provide icantly different size	Weighted Score  1.44  1.47  .15  s a fairer representations.	Total Teacher SGO Score  3.06  tion of her student		
Preparedness Group Low Medium High This math teacher performance than Notes Describe any change	Percent of Students at Target Score 95% 79% 59% has also chosen to a straight average	Teacher SGO Score  4  3  1  use a weighted ave for groups of signification.	Weight (based on students per group)  .36  .49  .15  rage. This provide icantly different size	Weighted Score  1.44  1.47  .15  s a fairer representations.	Total Teacher SGO Score  3.06  tion of her student		
Preparedness Group  Low  Medium  High  This math teacher performance than  Notes  Describe any change circumstances, etc.	Percent of Students at Target Score 95% 79% 59% has also chosen to a straight average es made to SGO after	Teacher SGO Score  4  3  1  use a weighted aveighted aveighted for groups of signification initial approval, e.g. b	Weight (based on students per group) .36 .49 .15 rage. This provide icantly different size	Weighted Score  1.44  1.47  .15  as a fairer representations.  In student population, of	Total Teacher SGO Score  3.06  tion of her student  other unforeseen		
Preparedness Group  Low  Medium  High  This math teacher performance than  Notes  Describe any change circumstances, etc.	Percent of Students at Target Score 95% 79% 59% has also chosen to a straight average es made to SGO after	Teacher SGO Score  4  3  1  use a weighted aveighted aveighted for groups of signification initial approval, e.g. b	Weight (based on students per group) .36 .49 .15 rage. This provide icantly different size	Weighted Score  1.44  1.47  .15  as a fairer representations.  In student population, of	Total Teacher SGO Score  3.06  tion of her student		
Preparedness Group  Low  Medium  High  This math teacher performance than  Notes  Describe any change circumstances, etc.	Percent of Students at Target Score 95% 79% 59% has also chosen to a straight average es made to SGO after	Teacher SGO Score  4  3  1  use a weighted aveighted aveighted for groups of signification initial approval, e.g. b	Weight (based on students per group) .36 .49 .15 rage. This provide icantly different size	Weighted Score  1.44  1.47  .15  as a fairer representations.  In student population, of	Total Teacher SGO Score  3.06  tion of her student  other unforeseen		
Preparedness Group  Low  Medium  High  This math teacher performance than  Notes  Describe any change circumstances, etc.	Percent of Students at Target Score 95% 79% 59% has also chosen to a straight average es made to SGO after	Teacher SGO Score  4  3  1  use a weighted aveighted aveighted for groups of signification initial approval, e.g. b	Weight (based on students per group) .36 .49 .15 rage. This provide icantly different size	Weighted Score  1.44  1.47  .15  as a fairer representations.  In student population, of	Total Teacher SGO Score  3.06  tion of her student  other unforeseen		
Preparedness Group  Low  Medium  High  This math teacher performance than  Notes  Describe any change circumstances, etc.	Percent of Students at Target Score 95% 79% 59% has also chosen to a straight average es made to SGO after sinual Conference and challenges, lessor	Teacher SGO Score  4  3  1  use a weighted aveighted aveighted for groups of signification initial approval, e.g. b	Weight (based on students per group) .36 .49 .15 rage. This provide icantly different size pecause of changes in about teaching and size about teaching about teaching and size about teaching and size about teaching about teaching and size about teaching about teachin	Weighted Score  1.44  1.47  .15  as a fairer representation, of the student population, of the student learning, and statements.	Total Teacher SGO Score  3.06  tion of her student  other unforeseen		
Results of Student Summarize results of Preparedness Group Low Medium High This math teacher performance than Notes Describe any change circumstances, etc.  Review SGO at An Describe successes a next year.	Percent of Students at Target Score 95% 79% 59% has also chosen to a straight average es made to SGO after  anual Conference and challenges, lessor	Teacher SGO Score  4  3  1  use a weighted ave for groups of signifi  initial approval, e.g. b	Weight (based on students per group)  .36  .49  .15  rage. This provide icantly different size of changes in about teaching and state about teaching about teaching and state about teaching and state about teaching and state about teaching ab	Weighted Score  1.44  1.47  .15  Is a fairer representatives.  In student population, of student learning, and statements and statements.	Total Teacher SGO Score  3.06  tion of her student  other unforeseen  teps to improve SGOs for		

## **Rubric for Markers of Future Success**

Information gathered during first 6 weeks of school.

Criterion	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1
Active Participant	Always prepared. Engaged in all of the learning process.	Mostly prepared. Engaged in most of the learning process.	Sometimes prepared. Engaged in some of the learning process.	Rarely prepared. Engaged in little or none of the learning process
Academic Independence	Consistently demonstrates. intellectual curiosity Consistently selfmotivated and independent.	Frequently demonstrates. intellectual curiosity Usually self-motivated and independent.	Sometimes demonstrates intellectual curiosity. Sometimes selfmotivated and independent.	Rarely demonstrates intellectual curiosity. Rarely or never selfmotivated, frequently depends on prompting and/or teacher assistance.
Class Attendance	Never absent.	Rarely absent.	Sometimes absent.	Frequently absent.